

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I do not know exactly what the statement is. I have not been able to read the papers. He is a Minister in charge of the portfolio. If he knows something about it, he might have given his mind, but it does not mean that it is a decision of the Cabinet.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಕೃಷ್ಣಾ-ಗೋದಾವರಿ ನದಿಗಳ ಪ್ರಸಂಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಗಳನ್ನೂ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಲು ಅಗಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಹಣವಿರಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೂ ಸಿದ್ಧಿ ಎಂದೂ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನದಿಗಳ ಹಂಚಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗಮನಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The argument that has been advanced by the Hon'ble Member to come to his own conclusion, is not correct.

Sri G. V. GOWDA—In the Gulhati Commission Report there is a recommendation for the diversion of waters from Godavari basin to Krishna. Has the Government taken any steps to see that at least 300 TMC. ft. of water from Godavari basin is diverted to Krishna so that we have enough of water that is required ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How does it arise from this question ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—We have placed our claims regarding Godavari water and also incidentally because it has appeared in the Gulhati Commission Report, we have pointed out to the Government of India that they should take immediate steps for diversion of waters from Godavari so that we will be benefited.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—In view of the fact that settlement seems to take a long time, does Government think it desirable to press the Central Government to stop the work of the Nagarjunasagar and the Srisailem Projects till they settle the matter ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—We have done that also.

Amount spent for constructing guest Houses and other Buildings at Tirumalai Hills.

*Q.—1027. **Sri P. VENKATAGIRIYAPPA (Kolar).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the amount spent by them for constructing Guest Houses, other buildings and roads, etc., on Tirumalai Hills for the last three years ;

(b) whether any tenders were called for in this behalf ;

(c) if so, the number of persons that offered their tenders and the rates quoted by them ;

(d) when the opening ceremony of the Guest House at Thirupathi Tirumalai Hills was held and the number of Ministers that attended the function ;

(e) the number of official and non-official guests attended the tea and dinner parties in connection with the opening ceremony ?

4.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Ra. 4,31,279/.

(b) Yes.

(c) Only one contractor and the rates quoted for the various works varies from 8.78% to 49.58% excess over the estimate.

(d) On 21st April 1963; Two Ministers.

(e) No tea and dinner parties were arranged for the function.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ತಿರುಪತಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಮೇಲೆ ಏನೇನು ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್ಲೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅಲ್ಲ ಒಂದು ಗೆಸ್ಟ್ ಹೌಸ್, ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಸಿಂಗರ್ ಕಾಟೀಜ್, ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ್ ವಾಲ್ ಎರಾ ಇದೆ. It is a lengthy list.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If it is a lengthy list it need not be read. It may be placed on the Table of the House.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I can do that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ರಾಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಸಿಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಅಂಥ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್ಲೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅಷ್ಟು ಎರಾ ಡಿಜೀರಸ್ ನೆನ್ಸಿಟಿ. ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಲೆವೆಲ್ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Supplementary to (c): May I know whether a tendency is developing in the P.W.D. that only one contractor should tender and that others are asked not to tender and the Government and the officers are encouraging the allotment of works only to one tenderer?

Mr. SPEAKER.—For developing a tendency more than one is wanted. I am concerned with the present question.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Contractors are told not to tender for a work and they are told that separate works would be allotted to them. This tendency is increasing in Government. What is the answer for this?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not aware of any such tendency. Probably, that might be the experience of the Hon'ble Member when he was in office.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No, no. I do not think Sri Ganamukhi says this from experience; he was not a Minister in charge of Public Works.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—May I humbly submit I had to say this in reply because I felt that this was an insinuation and no Government can tolerate and encourage such an insinuation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister may reject the insinuation, vehemently.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That is what I am doing. Public notifications according to well-established procedure are issued and published in the Gazette and sufficient publicity is given even by publishing them in local newspapers. We want to encourage more people to come to this field and encourage competition so that Government may benefit and there will not be monopoly of works by any single person.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want to know whether the Hon'ble Member Sri Anna Rao has any particular instance with all details in his mind with regard to this question and the subject matter of this question.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I have put a general question not with regard to this particular question.

MR. SPEAKER.—I appeal to the Hon'ble Member to see that supplementaries are put with the knowledge of facts and so I enquired whether he had some particular instance in mind when he was putting the question.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I have no particular instance in mind.

SRI G. DUGGAPPA.—There was only one contractor and the rates varied from 8.78 per cent to 49.8 per cent. I want to know whether Government accepted rates in excess of the estimated amount?

MR. SPEAKER.—The answer says the rates varied from 8.78 per cent to 49.58 per cent.

SRI G. DUGGAPPA.—I want to know how much was the excess accepted by Government over the estimated amount.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—I could not follow the question.

SRI G. DUGGAPPA.—My question is clear. I want to know the excess amount accepted by Government over the estimated amount.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is not one single work. There are different items. It is a long list. The excess of 49.58 per cent relates to a small work costing Rs. 6,000. There are about 16 works. For some work the excess is only 6 per cent, for some others 8 per cent and it was 49 per cent only for one item.

SRI G. DUGGAPPA.—I want to know whether the excess of 49 per cent accepted by Government.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is it not self-evident?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಈ ನಥೆಗೆ ಬಹಳ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳದೆ ಅನುಚಿತವಾಗಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲೇ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರು ಯಾರು? ಅವರ ಹೆಸರೇನು?

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon' Minister need not answer, this question.

SRI S. GOPALA GOWDA.—Why?

MR. SPEAKER.—I have disallowed it. I have always said that names should not be brought in. It is not for the first time that I have been saying this. Sri Gopala Gowda must have heard it several times over. I have always disallowed supplementaries asking for names. I am therefore not allowing this supplementary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಉತ್ತಿಷ್ಟ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ಕಂಪೆನಿ ಎಂದಿದೆ, ಆ ಕಂಪೆನಿಯ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ವರ ಎಂಬವರಿಗೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವಲ್ಲವೆ?

MR. SPEAKER.—I have not allowed that. What follows from this? The Hon'ble Member knew the answer; and knew particulars and yet he put the question.

SRI S. GOPALA GOWDA.—Are we expected to supply information to this House?

MR. SPEAKER.—That is exactly what the Hon'ble Member has done now.

SRI S. GOPALA GOWDA.—I expect Government to supply all these details. Is this the type of answer to be given by Government?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rules say that a member who has got information is not expected to ask for it pretending that he does not know and thereby trying to elicit information. That is my view. If I am wrong, the Hon'ble Member can convince me.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕ. ಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ತೀರಾಪತಿ ಬೆಟ್ಟದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಲು ಸುಮಾರು ನೂರಕ್ಕೆ ನೂರಕ್ಕೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನವರು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಲು ಸಾರಣವೇನು? ನಾರಾಯ ಸಾರಿ ಚಂಡ್ ಕರದು ಒಬ್ಬರಿಗೇ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಎಂಬ ದಮ್ನ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿಲೇರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ.—ಒ.ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು.ಡಿ. ಕೆಲಸಗನ್ನು ಕಂಚಾಕ್ಕರಿಗೆ ವಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಚೆಂಡಾ ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅನೇಕ ಕಡೆ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಲ ಕರೆದರೂ ಒಬ್ಬರೂ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ಧಾರನ್ಯಾದರೂ ಕಡೆ ಕೊಂಚು ಬಂದು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇಂಥ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಇದೆ. ಬೆಟ್ಟದ ಮೇಲಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಷ್ಟದ ಕೆಲಸ ಎಂದು ಕಂಚಾಕ್ಕರುಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬಾರದಿರಬಹುದು. ಚೆಂಡಾ ಕರೆದಾಗ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಜನರು ಬಾರುವ ಒಬ್ಬರೇ ಬಂದರೆ ಅವರಿಂದಲೇ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಎಲ್ಲನುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.— I will look into this question and this Question, i.e., Q. No. 1055 is held over. Next question will be taken up now.

Writ petitions filed against the Government.

*Q.—1153. Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA (Suratkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the number of writ petitions filed against the Government by its own officers against promotions, dismissals, transfers, irregularity in fixing the scale of pay, irregularity in fixing the seniority and any other causes for the last three years.

A.—Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Law).—

1,081.

Sri SANJEEVANATH AIKALA.—May I know how many officers have asked for permission to file writ petitions against the Government?

† Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I wish that position was correct. No officer has at any time asked for the permission of the Government to go to the High Court for filing a writ petition.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Out of these writ petitions, may I know the number of writ petitions disposed of?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question was put on 28th September 1963 and the answer was furnished on 5th December 1963. When it was mentioned that several old questions have not been answered I made enquiries and this was one of those questions. At the time I admitted the question I was not able to know the magnitude of the work involved. The writ petitions relate to a multitude of facts. For every writ petition there will be an affidavit containing 15 to 20 pages and if information has to be called out for all these questions, it will be a laborious work.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—After all the Government machinery is not small.